# Exhibit 42

### KRISTI CLEMENS DOE vs TRUSTEES OF DARTMOUT COLLEGE

January 06, 2020

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEW HAMPSHIRE
CASE 1:19-CV-00109-SM

vs.

#### DEPOSITION OF KRISTI CLEMENS

Deposition taken at the Hanover Inn,

2 East Wheelock Street, Hanover, New Hampshire,
on Monday, January 6, 2020, commencing at

11:17 a.m.

#### Court Reporter:

Dawn L. Griffin-Smith, LCR New Hampshire LCR No. 108 (RSA 310-A:161-181)



## KRISTI CLEMENS DOE vs TRUSTEES OF DARTMOUT COLLEGE

January 06, 2020

1	APPEARANCES
2	AF F EARANCES
3	Representing the Plaintiff:
4	Mark Anderson - pro se
5	9007 W. Shorewood Drive, #542 Mercer Island, WA 98040
6	jd2018265@gmail.com
7	
8	Representing the Defendants:
9	DINSE
10	209 Battery Street Burlington, VT 05402
11	Bullington, vi 03402  By: Shapley Smith, Esq. (802) 859-7026
12	ssmith@dinse.com and
13	WADLEIGH STARR & PETERS  95 Market Street
14	Manchester, NH 03101  By: Chris McGown, Esq. (via telephone)
15	(603) 669-4140
16	
17	Also Present: Dana Scaduto
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	



## KRISTI CLEMENS DOE vs TRUSTEES OF DARTMOUT COLLEGE

January 06, 2020

INDEX	
WITNESS:	
KRISTI CLEMENS	
EXAMINATION:	Page
By Mr. Smith	4
By Mr. Anderson	52
EXHIBITS FOR IDENTIFICATION:	
Number	Page
21 3/29/17 Report	18
	42
***Exhibits retained by Attorney Smith.	
	WITNESS:  KRISTI CLEMENS  EXAMINATION:  By Mr. Smith



4

5

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

- Q. Okay. Prior to that role what role did you serve
  at Dartmouth College?
  - A. Beginning in April 2013 I served as the assistant dean of student affairs and director of case management.
- 6 Q. What is your educational background?
- 7 A. I have a masters in higher education and student
  8 affairs administration from the University of
  9 Vermont, and a bachelors in speech and
  10 interpersonal communication from New York
  11 University.
  - Q. And in your prior role, the one prior to your title
    IX, could you describe your roles and
    responsibilities?
    - A. My role was to assist other colleagues throughout the division of student affairs with students of concern and students who were struggling to remain enrolled at the college.

To do that, I oversaw our on-call system as well as any emergency situations that arose for undergraduate students.

- 22 Q. Can you describe the on-call system?
  - A. Sure. Our on-call system we have a dean on call



2.2

call, for responding to dean on-call reports as they came in; to determining, responsible for determining what would be the appropriate next steps.

I was not, I would often describe it as like an air traffic controller, not necessarily the person flying the plane but directing and keeping track of where a situation might be handled to insure that the ball wasn't dropped.

- Q. And other than the coordination of the on call, what other roles and responsibilities did you have?
- A. During the day I would work with colleagues in the undergraduate dean's office, in residential life, in a number of different student affairs offices to discuss students of concern.

And so it's a model where we don't want one area of campus sort of having information about a student who might be struggling. We try to make those connections across the campus to say if somebody is having difficulty in residential life and also having difficulty in their academics, rather than having two different people work with that student how can we better coordinate our

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

services to serve that student.

So I was the hub of that system, making those connections with the different colleagues and helping to share that information.

- What was your responsibility with regard to the Q. disciplinary process?
- I didn't have any responsibility in regard to the Α. disciplinary process.
- Okay. Have you fully described what your role was? 0.
- The role of case management is a bit of a "what Α. needs to be done sort of position. When things get messy, as they tend to do with student and human lives, I would be the person to try to untangle that mess a little bit and ensure that our students are served in the best way possible so they can do what they came here to do, which is get an education.

So that is, that sort of bringing together and gathering of bread crumbs is the best way that I can describe a number of different things that were situation specific.

- And remind me your title again was? Ο.
  - Assistant dean of student affairs and director of Α.



case management.

- Q. And were you referred to as a dean?
- 3 | A. Yes.

2.2

- Q. Let's turn our attention to you, the individual who is the plaintiff here, Mark Anderson. When did you first learn that Mark Anderson had been contacted by Dartmouth's security services?
- A. I received a dean on-call report the night of March 29, 2017, regarding Mark's interaction with safety and security.
- Q. And tell me about what you learned at that time.
- A. Yup. The report from the dean on call stated that

  University had contacted Dartmouth's safety

  and security to express concern about Mr.

  Anderson's behavior toward one of their students.
  - University Police Department was issuing a protective order, an order of protection, to Mr. Anderson, and asked for Dartmouth's assistance in delivering that protective order.

I believe that safety and security also then worked or maybe contacted the Hanover Police Department to assist with, but ultimately the report that I received as part of that dean



on-call report that evening stated that there was some concerning behavior exhibited by Mr. Anderson that resulted in the issuance of a protective order that evening.

- Q. And what did you do once you had received that information?
- A. We didn't have a lot of information at that time about what was contained, what occurred that precipitated the order.

There was a mention in the dean on-call report about potentially some mental health issues or threatening behavior toward the other party at but in the evening we did not have a lot of information.

I believe that the, either the dean on-call or the safety and security officer who gen, who worked on this case that night said that they were not concerned about Mr. Anderson at that time, and that was my primary concern was, What is his mental state? Is he safe to remain on campus at this time?

Q. Who did you speak to when you received this report, if you remember?



2.2

- Q. Before you reached out to him, do you know whether anybody else from Dartmouth, other than safety and security, had spoken to Mark?
- 4 A. I don't know, but I don't believe so.
- Q. Okay. And what did you do to reach out to Mark
  Anderson?
- 7 A. Yeah. I wrote to Mark and let him know that the
  8 college was aware that he was served with a
  9 protective order last night and that I would like
  10 to speak with him about that.
  - Q. Were you involved in gathering any additional information about what had happened at and with the individual who had made the complaint against Mr. Anderson?
  - A. I asked safety and security if they had received a more detailed report from University because I wanted to know the nature of the threats that were made, and also whether we had a safety issue in regards to Mr. Anderson.

I believe that I got a copy of that full report from University that afternoon, which when I read it and also I believe a colleague in the counseling center read it, at that time we did



11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

Carson.

2.2

- Q. And can you describe the discussion that you had with Mr. Anderson?
- A. Sure. He came in I believe it was towards the end of the day, and I explained that, as I said, we were aware of this protective order. I explained that my role, though I am a dean I'm not an undergraduate dean, and so I'm someone who just checks in with students to talk with them about circumstances that may have occurred.

I let him know that we had read the report and that I was concerned about him and wanted to check in and see how he was doing. I recall that he said he was fine. He was nervous about remaining in his classes and how to talk to faculty.

I let him know the college would not be taking any action on this report in terms of a judicial approach at this point, but wanted to let him know that should this behavior continue we may need to reconsider that.

Q. How did you learn that the college would not be taking any action since the disciplinary process



- 1 Could you identify that document for me?
- 2 A. These are my notes in Mark Anderson's Maxient case management file.
- Q. And that also includes your summary of what occurred on the April 4th, 2017, meeting?
- 6 A. Yes.
- Q. Would that also include your communication to others about what you had communicated to Mark?
- 9 A. That is not included in this file.
- 10 Q. Okay. Was the Maxient note shared with Mr.
- 11 Anderson?
- 12 A. No.

Α.

17

- 13 | Q. Do students have access to the Maxient note system?
- 14 | A. No, it's private, private online situation.
- Q. When was the next time that you learned about Mr.
- Anderson's activities on campus?
- report that the protective order had been violated by Mr. Anderson, and that he was going to be

On May the 4th we received another dean on-call

- arrested and transported to the Grafton County
- 21 Jail.
- 22 | Q. What did you do when you learned that information?
- 23 A. At that point our protocol and our practice for



- 1 that he was not allowed to be on campus.
  - Q. And what did they say to that?

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

- A. They understood. I think they were concerned both for him and for the situation, and understood that he was not to be on campus and so they should leave.
- Q. Did you have a subsequent conversation with his parents?
  - A. I think I only spoke with them on that Saturday, but then Mark himself called me on Sunday to say a number of things, but essentially to say that he would be leaving with his parents.
  - Q. What else did he say?
    - A. He was very upset about what had happened; he was upset about the outcome. He was very emotional during our conversation, and so what I tried to do in that conversation was to support him, was to reassure him that the appeals process was fair, and that he would have the opportunity to share his concerns in an appeal; but also to reiterate that the best decision at this point would be to go home because he could not remain on campus.

He had brought up in that conversation



1 happened at that moating in April. It was not a 2 hearing by any means necessary. And then it was 3 after that I had that long conversation with 4 It's all coming back now. him. 5 You did not have the authority to have any sort of Q. 6 hearing in April of 2017 with regard to any allegations that might have been made against Mark 7 Anderson; correct? 8 That is correct. 9 Α. 10 MR. SMITH: Can we get this marked. That 11 will be Exhibit 22, I believe. 12 (Exhibit 22, Email string, marked for 13 identification.) 14 I'm showing you what's been marked as Exhibit 22. 0. Could you identify that document for me? 15 16 This is the email where Mark wrote to me, read from Α. 17 the bottom up. He had asked to meet with me. 18 said, No, I can't meet with you. And then he poses 19 the question about or makes the assertion that I 20 told him he had been given a college 21 reprimand/warning about the incident. And then on

November 17th is the email where I correct that



factually.

2.2

23

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

- certainty about our meeting, that meeting being 4 April, 2017?
- A. The meeting on April 4th, 2017.
- 4 MR. SMITH: Same objection, but you can answer.
  - A. What I recall about that meeting is that I reached out to you to ask you to come in and speak about the incident, which resulted in the protective order being issued to you.

In that meeting I recall that we talked about that the college was aware of this incident; that I gave you some advice that this was behavior that should not continue. We talked about your classes. We talked about some supports like counseling and other supportive areas.

And at that time I believe that you asked me what would happen next in terms of the college response, and I said to you that at this time the college would not be moving forward with any conduct or judicial action, but if something like this came up again we may need to have a different conversation.

Q. Okay. So at that point the judicial affairs office

